arXiv:1612.02783v1 [math.CA] 8 Dec 2016

INEQUALITIES FOR MEANS OF TWO MEAN VARIABLES

LI YIN AND BARKAT ALI BHAYO

Abstract. Motivated by the work of Anderson, Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen [5], in this paper authors study the log-convexity and log-concavity of Power mean, Identric mean, weighted Power mean, Lehmer mean, Modified Alzer mean, and establish the relation of these means with each other.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26E60, 26A51.
Keywords and phrases: inequalities, log-convexity and log-concavity, Logarithmic and Identric mean.

1. introduction

For two positive real numbers a and b, the Arithmetic, Geometric, Harmonic, Logarithmic, Identric, Lehmer, Modified Alzer, Power mean of order $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and the weighted Power mean are respectively defined by

$$A(a,b) = \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad G(a,b) = \sqrt{ab},$$

$$H(a,b) = \frac{1}{A(1/a,1/b)},$$

$$L(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{\log a - \log b}, a \neq b, L(a,a) = a,$$

$$I(a,b) = \frac{1}{e}\left(\frac{a^a}{b^b}\right)^{1/(a-b)}, \quad a \neq b,$$

$$L_p(a,b) = \frac{a^p + b^p}{a^{p-1} + b^{p-1}}, \quad p > 0,$$

$$J_p(a,b) = \frac{p+1}{p}\frac{a^{p+1} - b^{p+1}}{a^p - b^p}, \quad p \neq 0, a \neq b,$$

$$M_p(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{1/p}, & p \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{ab}, & p = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$M_p(\omega,a,b) = \left(\frac{a^p + \omega b^p}{1+\omega}\right)^{1/p}, \quad p > 0.$$

Since last few decades the inequalities involving these means have been studied extensively by numerous authors. For the historical background, generalized, and their

File: YinBar-08122016.tex, printed: 2016-012-9, 1.56

connection with elementary functions and with each other, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 15].

For the following definition see [5].

1.1. **Definition.** *Let $f : I_0 \to (0,\infty)$ be continuous, where I is a sub-interval of $(0,\infty)$. Let M and N be two any mean functions. We say that the function f is MN-convex (concave) if*

$$f(M(x,y)) \leq (\geq) N(f(x),f(y)) \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all } x,y \in I_0\,.$$

In [5], Anderson, Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen studied the convexity and concavity properties of a function f with respect to two mean values, and gave the following result:

1.2. **Lemma.** [5, Theorem 2.4] *Let $f : I_0 \to (0,\infty)$ be a differentiable. In parts (4)(9), let $I_0 = (0,b)$, $0 < b < \infty$. Then*

(1) *f is AA-convex (concave) if and only if $f'(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(2) *f is AG-convex (concave) if and only if $f'(x)/f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(3) *f is AH-convex (concave) if and only if $f'(x)/f(x)^2$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(4) *f is GA-convex (concave) if and only if $xf'(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(5) *f is GG-convex (concave) if and only if $xf'(x)/f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(6) *f is GH-convex (concave) if and only if $xf'(x)/f(x)^2$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(7) *f is HA-convex (concave) if and only if $x^2f'(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(8) *f is HG-convex (concave) if and only if $x^2f'(x)/f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),*
(9) *f is HH-convex (concave) if and only if $x^2f'(x)/f(x)^2$ is increasing (decreasing).*

After the publication [5], many authors have studied generalized convexity. For a partial survey of the recent results, see [4]. In [7], the following results appeared. Motivated by the above work of Anderson et al. [5], the authors of this paper studied the convexity and concavity properties of a function with respect to Logarithmic, Identric mean and gave the following results..

1.3. **Lemma.** [7] *Let $f : (0,1) \to (0,\infty)$ be a continuous, then*

(1) *f is LL-convex (concave) if f is increasing and* log*-convex (concave),*
(2) *f is AL-convex (concave) if f is increasing and* log*-convex (concave).*

1.4. **Lemma.** [8, Theorem 1] *Let $f : I \to (0,\infty)$ and $I \subseteq (0,\infty)$. Then the following inequality holds true:*

$$I(f(x),f(y)) \geq f(I(x,y))$$
$$(I(f(x),f(y)) \leq f(A(x,y)))$$

If the function $f(x)$ is a continuously differentiable, increasing and log-convex (concave).

In this paper authors make a contribution to the topic by giving the following theorems.

1.5. **Theorem.** *For all $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ fixed, if $p, q > 0$ or $p, q \leq 0$ and $b \geq a$, then the following hold true:*
(1) $L\left(M_p(a,b), M_q(a,b)\right) \leq M_{L(p,q)}(a,b)$;
(2) $L\left(M_p(a,b), M_q(a,b)\right) \leq M_{A(p,q)}(a,b)$;
(3) $I\left(M_p(a,b), M_q(a,b)\right) \leq M_{A(p,q)}(a,b)$.

1.6. **Theorem.** *For all $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ fixed and $b \geq a$, if $p, q > 0$, then the following hold true:*
(1) $L\left(L_p(a,b), L_q(a,b)\right) \geq L_{L(p,q)}(a,b)$;
(2) $L\left(L_p(a,b), L_q(a,b)\right) \geq L_{A(p,q)}(a,b)$;
(3) $I\left(L_p(a,b), L_q(a,b)\right) \geq L_{I(p,q)}(a,b)$.

1.7. **Theorem.** *For $a \geq b \geq 1$, $p, \omega, \nu > 0$, the following hold true:*
(1) $L\left(M_p(\omega,a,b), M_p(\nu,a,b)\right) \leq M_p(L(\omega,\nu),a,b)$,
(2) $L\left(M_p(\omega,a,b), M_p(\nu,a,b)\right) \leq M_p(A(\omega,\nu),a,b)$,
(3) $I\left(M_p(\omega,a,b), M_p(\nu,a,b)\right) \leq M_p(A(\omega,\nu),a,b)$.

2. Lemmas and proof

In this section we give few lemmas which will be used in the proof of main result.

2.1. **Lemma** ([10, Lemma 1])**.** *The two variable power mean $M_p(a,b)$ is concave in p for $p \geq 1$ and convex in p for $p \leq -1$. That is, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\left[M_p(a,b)\right] \leq 0$ for $p \geq 1$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\left[M_p(a,b)\right] \geq 0$ for $p \leq -1$ with equality if and only if $a = b$.*

2.2. **Lemma.** *For $a, b > 0$, the following assertions hold true:*
(1) The function $p \mapsto M_p(a,b)$ is increasing and log-concave on $p \in (0, \infty)$;
(2) If $\frac{b}{a} \geq 1$, the function $p \mapsto M_p(a,b)$ is increasing and log-concave on $p \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof. By simple computation, we easily know that $M_p(a,b) = aM_p(1,\lambda)$, where $\lambda = b/a$. So, we only need to prove that the function $p \mapsto M_p(a,b)$ satisfies the above assertions.

For the proof of part (1), we easily obtain

$$M_{pt}(1,\lambda)^t = M_p(1,\lambda^t),$$

and

$$t \log\left(M_{pt}(1,\lambda)\right) = \log\left(M_p(1,\lambda^t)\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Differentiate (2.3) with respect to p while holding λ fixed, we get

$$t^2\left[\log\left(M_{pt}(1,\lambda)\right)\right]' = \left[\log\left(M_p(1,\lambda^t)\right)\right]', \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$t^3 \left[\log\left(M_{pt}(1,\lambda)\right)\right]'' = \left[\log\left(M_p(1,\lambda^t)\right)\right]''. \tag{2.5}$$

Putting $p = 1$, we have

$$t^3 \left[\log\left(M_t(1,\lambda)\right)\right]'' \leq 0$$

by Lemma 2.1. Hence, we have $\left[\log\left(M_t(1,\lambda)\right)\right]'' \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$.

For the proof of part (2). Let $f(p) = M_p(1,\lambda)$. Simple computation yields

$$f'(p) = -\frac{1}{p^2}\log\left(\frac{1+\lambda^p}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda^p \ln\lambda}{p^2\left(1+\lambda^p\right)},$$

and

$$f''(p) = \frac{2}{p^3}\log\left(\frac{1+\lambda^p}{2}\right) - \frac{\lambda^p \ln\lambda}{p^2\left(1+\lambda^p\right)} + \frac{p\lambda^p \ln^2\lambda - \lambda^p \ln\lambda - \lambda^{2p}\ln\lambda}{p^2\left(1+\lambda^p\right)^2}.$$

If $p < 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, we easily see that $f''(p) < 0$ which implies that the function $p \mapsto M_p(a,b)$ is log-cancave on $p \in (-\infty, 0)$. In addition, the increasing property of $p \mapsto M_p(a,b)$ is well-known see e.g., [9]. This completes the proof. □

2.6. **Lemma.** *For $a, b > 0$ with $b \geq a$, the function $p \mapsto L_p(a,b)$ is increasing and log-convex on $p \in (0,\infty)$. In particular, for $p > 1$ and $b \geq a > 0$, we have*

$$L_p(a,b)^2 \leq L_{p+1}(a,b)L_{p-1}(a,b).$$

Proof. Since $L_p(a,b) = aL_p(1,\lambda), \lambda = \frac{b}{a}$, we only consider the function $L_p(1,\lambda)$. Let $g(p) = \log\left(L_p(1,\lambda)\right)$. Simple computation results in

$$g'(p) = \frac{\lambda^p \ln\lambda}{1+\lambda^p} \geq 0,$$

and

$$g''(p) = \frac{\lambda^p \ln^2\lambda}{1+\lambda^p} \geq 0$$

which implies that the function $g(p)$ is increasing and log-convex on $p \in (0,\infty)$. □

2.7. **Lemma.** *For $p > 0$, the function $p \mapsto M_p(\omega,a,b)$ is decreasing and log-convex (increasing and log-concave) on $\omega \in (0,\infty)$ if $b \geq a > 1$ ($a \geq b > 1$). In particular for $p > 0, u > 1$ and $b \geq a > 1$ ($a \geq b > 1$), we have*

$$M_p(u,a,b)^2 \leq (\geq) M_p(u-1,a,b)M_p(u+1,a,b).$$

Proof. Let $k(\omega) = \log\left(M_p(\omega,a,b)\right)$. By simple computation, we have

$$k'(\omega) = \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{1+\omega(b/a)^p} - \frac{1}{1+\omega}\right)$$

and

$$k''(\omega) = \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1+\omega\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(1+\omega(b/a)^p\right)^2}\right).$$

If $b \geq a > 1$ ($a \geq b > 1$), we easily obtain $k'(\omega) \leq (\geq)0$ and $k''(\omega) \geq (\leq)0$. This completes the proof. □

2.8. **Corollary.** *For $b > a > 0$, the function $p \mapsto J_p(a,b)$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $p \in (0,\infty)$. In particular, for $b > a > 0$ and $p > 1$, we have*

$$J_p(a,b)^2 \leq J_{p+1}(a,b)J_{p-1}(a,b).$$

Proof. Since $J_p(a,b) = aJ_p(1,\lambda)$, where $\lambda = \frac{b}{a}$. Now it is enough to prove that the function $p \mapsto J_p(1,\lambda)$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $p \in (0,\infty)$. Let $h(p) = \log\left(J_p(1,\lambda)\right)$. By simple computation, we have

$$h'(p) = -\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p+1} + \ln\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda^p}{1-\lambda^p} - \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{1-\lambda^{p+1}}\right) < 0,$$

and

$$h''(p) = \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{(p+1)^2} + \ln^2\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda^p}{(1-\lambda^p)^2} - \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{(1-\lambda^{p+1})^2}\right) > 0.$$

In fact, we consider the auxiliary functions $\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{1-x}$ and $\beta(x) = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$ for $x > 1$. Simple computation yields $\alpha'(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} > 0$ and $\beta'(x) = \frac{1+x}{(1-x)^3} < 0$. This implies that $\alpha(x)$ is strictly increasing and $\beta(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $x > 1$. Because of $\lambda > 1$, we have $\lambda^p < \lambda^{p+1}$. So, we get $\frac{\lambda^p}{1-\lambda^p} < \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{1-\lambda^{p+1}}$ and $\frac{\lambda^p}{(1-\lambda^p)^2} > \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{(1-\lambda^{p+1})^2}$ This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5-1.7. The proof of Theorem 1.5 follows from Lemmas 2.2, 1.3 and 1.4. Similarly, by utilizing the Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4, the proof of Theorem 1.6 and 1.7 follow from 2.6 and 2.7, respectively. □

References

[1] M. Abramowitz, I. Stegun, eds.: *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables.* National Bureau of Standards, Dover, New York, 1965.

[2] H. Alzer and S.-L. Qiu: *Inequalities for means in two variables*, Arch. Math. 80 (2003), 201–205.

[3] H. Alzer: *Two inequalities for means*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, 9 (1987), 11–16.

[4] G. D. Anderson, M. Vuorinen, and X. Zhang: *Topics in special functions III*, Analytic Number Theory, Approximation Theory and Special Functions, ed. by K. Alladi, G. Milovanovic, and M. Th. Rassias, 39–85, Springer-Verlag, 2014.

[5] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen: *Genenalized Convexity and inequalities.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **335** 2007, 1294-1308.

[6] Á. Baricz: *Geometrically concave univariate distributions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **363**, No. 1, 182–196 2010.

[7] B. A. Bhayo and L. Yin: *Logarithmic mean inequality for generalized trigonometric and hyperbolic functions*, Acta. Univ. Sapientiae Math., 6, No. 2, 2014, 135–145.

[8] B. A. Bhayo and L. Yin: *On the generalized convexity and concavity*, Problemy Analiza - Issues of Analysis, 22, No. 1, 2011, 1–9.

[9] J.-C. Kuang *Applied inequalities(Second edition).* Shan Dong Science and Technology Press. Jinan, 2002.

[10] T. J. Mildorf: *A sharp bound on the two variable powers mean*, Mathematical Reflections, 2006, 2, 3–7.

[11] B.A. Bhayo and J. Sándor: *On two new means of two variables II*, Notes Number Th. Discr. Math. 20 (2014), no.4, 1-10.

[12] B.C. Carlson: *The logarithmic mean*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 79 (1972), 615–618.
[13] D.S. Mitrinović: *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
[14] E. Neuman and J. Sándor: *Optimal inequalities for hyperbolic and trigonometric functions*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 3(2011), no.3, 177–181.
[15] E. Neuman and J. Sándor: *On the Schwab-Borchardt mean*, Math. Pannonica, 14(2003), no. 2, 253–266.
[16] E. Neuman and J. Sándor: *On the Schwab-Borchardt mean II*, Math. Pannonica, 17(2006), no. 1, 49–59.
[17] E. Neuman and J. Sándor: *Companion inequalities for certain bivariate means*, Appl. Anal. Discr. Math., 3 (2009), 46–51.
[18] E. Neuman and J. Sándor: *On certain means of two arguments and their extensions*, Intern. J. Math. Sci., 2003:16, 981–993

Department of Mathematics, Binzhou University, Binzhou City, Shandong Province, 256603, China
E-mail address: yinli_79@163.com

Education and teaching department, 50101 Mikkeli, Finland
E-mail address: bhayo.barkat@gmail.com